



*Protecting our children,  
families and future*

# **Department of Child Services**

## **Practice Indicator Reports**

### **For February 2009**

# **CHINS and IA Permanency Report**

Data in the report (both historical and current) may be subject to change as it is continually being validated and updated. This may result in statistical data in previous reports to be inconsistent with statistics found in more recent reports even when the data pertain to the same time period.



**Published  
March 2009**



# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report

### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

This report uses CHINS and IA case types that have case type status end date occurring during the month. It then groups these cases using the closure reasons codes into categories of closure reasons. Case with closure reason code "Case opened in error – disregard" are filtered out for the purpose of this report.

Closure Reasons are group by:

Description	Category
NA	NA
Child Reunited with Parents	Reunification
Child never Removed from own home, wardship terminated	Reunification
Extended wardship terminated (on court order for age 18 to 21)	Emanicipation
Case Informally adjusted	Other
Child Returned to Primary Home	Reunification
Transfer to another agency	Transfer
Other	Other
Period of IA ended code used for Informal Adjustment cases only	Reunification
Inaccurate Conversion	Other
Termination of parental rights	CHINS Opened
IA closed; CHINS opened	CHINS Opened
Child Adoption Finalized	Adoption
Case closed; AG case created	Guardianship
CHINS being filed	CHINS Opened
Child placed with relatives	Relative
Child Placed with Legal guardian	Guardianship
Child Reached Age of Majority (age 18)	Emanicipation
Runaway, wardship, Terminated	Other
Emancipated Court Ordered (age 18)	Emanicipation
Death of child	Other
SRA completed	Other
Child Already Reunited with parent (s) when wardship terminated	Reunification
Case opened in error - disregard	Error
Failed SRA	Other





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

**February 2009**


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 1	48	4	1	1	4	58	0	7	0
Lake County	48	4	1	1	4	58	0	7	0

**For February 2008 to February 2009**


**Statewide Permanency**



**Region 1 Permanency**



**Lake County Permanency**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 2	20	4	3	6	0	33	0	7	0
Jasper County	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 2 Permanency



Jasper County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 2	20	4	3	6	0	33	0	7	0
Laporte County	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 2 Permanency



Laporte County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 2	20	4	3	6	0	33	0	7	0
Newton County	5	0	0	0	0	5	0	1	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 2 Permanency



Newton County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 2	20	4	3	6	0	33	0	7	0
Porter County	6	4	3	6	0	19	0	0	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 2 Permanency



Porter County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 2	20	4	3	6	0	33	0	7	0
Pulaski County	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 2 Permanency



Pulaski County Permanency







# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 2	20	4	3	6	0	33	0	7	0
Starke County	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	4	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 2 Permanency



Starke County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emancipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 3	38	2	0	3	2	45	2	5	0
Elkhart County	22	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 3 Permanency



Elkhart County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

**February 2009**


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 3	38	2	0	3	2	45	2	5	0
Kosciusko County	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0

**For February 2008 to February 2009**


**Statewide Permanency**



**Region 3 Permanency**



**Kosciusko County Permanency**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 3	38	2	0	3	2	45	2	5	0
Marshall County	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 3 Permanency



Marshall County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 3	38	2	0	3	2	45	2	5	0
Saint Joseph County	13	2	0	3	2	20	2	3	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 3 Permanency



Saint Joseph County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

**February 2009**


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 4	74	7	3	2	3	89	2	36	0
Adams County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**For February 2008 to February 2009**


**Statewide Permanency**



**Region 4 Permanency**



**Adams County Permanency**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emancipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 4	74	7	3	2	3	89	2	36	0
Allen County	37	2	3	0	2	44	0	20	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 4 Permanency



Allen County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 4	74	7	3	2	3	89	2	36	0
Dekalb County	3	0	0	0	0	3	1	1	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 4 Permanency



Dekalb County Permanency







# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

**February 2009**


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 4	74	7	3	2	3	89	2	36	0
Huntington County	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	10	0

**For February 2008 to February 2009**


**Statewide Permanency**



**Region 4 Permanency**



**Huntington County Permanency**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

**February 2009**


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 4	74	7	3	2	3	89	2	36	0
LaGrange County	3	0	0	0	0	3	1	3	0

**For February 2008 to February 2009**


**Statewide Permanency**



**Region 4 Permanency**



**LaGrange County Permanency**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 4	74	7	3	2	3	89	2	36	0
Noble County	10	4	0	1	0	15	0	0	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 4 Permanency



Noble County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

**February 2009**


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 4	74	7	3	2	3	89	2	36	0
Steuben County	14	0	0	1	0	15	0	2	0

**For February 2008 to February 2009**


**Statewide Permanency**



**Region 4 Permanency**



**Steuben County Permanency**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

**February 2009**


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emancipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 4	74	7	3	2	3	89	2	36	0
Wells County	2	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0

**For February 2008 to February 2009**


**Statewide Permanency**



**Region 4 Permanency**



**Wells County Permanency**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

**February 2009**


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 4	74	7	3	2	3	89	2	36	0
Whitley County	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0

**For February 2008 to February 2009**


**Statewide Permanency**



**Region 4 Permanency**



**Whitley County Permanency**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 5	47	1	0	3	1	52	0	12	0
Benton County	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 5 Permanency



Benton County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

**February 2009**


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 5	47	1	0	3	1	52	0	12	0
Carroll County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**For February 2008 to February 2009**


**Statewide Permanency**



**Region 5 Permanency**



**Carroll County Permanency**







# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

**February 2009**


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 5	47	1	0	3	1	52	0	12	0
Clinton County	6	0	0	0	0	6	0	1	0

**For February 2008 to February 2009**


**Statewide Permanency**



**Region 5 Permanency**



**Clinton County Permanency**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

**February 2009**


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 5	47	1	0	3	1	52	0	12	0
Fountain County	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0

**For February 2008 to February 2009**


**Statewide Permanency**



**Region 5 Permanency**



**Fountain County Permanency**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 5	47	1	0	3	1	52	0	12	0
Tippecanoe County	34	1	0	3	1	39	0	6	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 5 Permanency



Tippecanoe County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

**February 2009**


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 5	47	1	0	3	1	52	0	12	0
Warren County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**For February 2008 to February 2009**


**Statewide Permanency**



**Region 5 Permanency**



**Warren County Permanency**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

**February 2009**


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 5	47	1	0	3	1	52	0	12	0
White County	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	5	0

**For February 2008 to February 2009**


**Statewide Permanency**



**Region 5 Permanency**



**White County Permanency**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

**February 2009**


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 6	17	0	0	2	0	19	0	5	0
Cass County	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0

**For February 2008 to February 2009**


**Statewide Permanency**



**Region 6 Permanency**



**Cass County Permanency**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 6	17	0	0	2	0	19	0	5	0
Fulton County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 6 Permanency



Fulton County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 6	17	0	0	2	0	19	0	5	0
Howard County	7	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 6 Permanency



Howard County Permanency







# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 6	17	0	0	2	0	19	0	5	0
Miami County	9	0	0	0	0	9	0	1	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 6 Permanency



Miami County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 6	17	0	0	2	0	19	0	5	0
Wabash County	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 6 Permanency



Wabash County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

**February 2009**


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 7	22	0	2	5	1	30	1	2	0
Blackford County	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0

**For February 2008 to February 2009**


**Statewide Permanency**



**Region 7 Permanency**



**Blackford County Permanency**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 7	22	0	2	5	1	30	1	2	0
Delaware County	15	0	0	5	1	21	1	0	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 7 Permanency



Delaware County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 7	22	0	2	5	1	30	1	2	0
Grant County	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	1	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 7 Permanency



Grant County Permanency



Prepared by  
Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis  
March 12 2009



State of Indiana

Mitchell E. Daniels Jr, Governor - James W. Payne, Director  
402 West Washington Street Room W392 / Indianapolis, IN 46204-2739

Source:  
ICWIS Monthly Data



# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 7	22	0	2	5	1	30	1	2	0
Jay County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 7 Permanency



Jay County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 7	22	0	2	5	1	30	1	2	0
Randolph County	5	0	1	0	0	6	0	0	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 7 Permanency



Randolph County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 8	9	3	0	0	1	13	0	1	0
Clay County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 8 Permanency



Clay County Permanency







# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 8	9	3	0	0	1	13	0	1	0
Parke County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 8 Permanency



Parke County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

**February 2009**


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 8	9	3	0	0	1	13	0	1	0
Sullivan County	5	0	0	0	1	6	0	0	0

**For February 2008 to February 2009**


**Statewide Permanency**



**Region 8 Permanency**



**Sullivan County Permanency**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 8	9	3	0	0	1	13	0	1	0
Vermillion County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 8 Permanency



Vermillion County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 8	9	3	0	0	1	13	0	1	0
Vigo County	4	3	0	0	0	7	0	1	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 8 Permanency



Vigo County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 9	43	4	0	0	2	49	0	13	0
Boone County	12	3	0	0	1	16	0	1	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 9 Permanency



Boone County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 9	43	4	0	0	2	49	0	13	0
Hendricks County	10	0	0	0	0	10	0	12	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 9 Permanency



Hendricks County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 9	43	4	0	0	2	49	0	13	0
Montgomery County	9	1	0	0	0	10	0	0	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 9 Permanency



Montgomery County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 9	43	4	0	0	2	49	0	13	0
Morgan County	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 9 Permanency



Morgan County Permanency







# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 9	43	4	0	0	2	49	0	13	0
Putnam County	8	0	0	0	1	9	0	0	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 9 Permanency



Putnam County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 10	200	13	2	3	3	221	1	40	0
Marion County	200	13	2	3	3	221	1	40	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 10 Permanency



Marion County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 11	25	1	0	3	1	30	0	4	0
Hamilton County	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	2	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 11 Permanency



Hamilton County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 11	25	1	0	3	1	30	0	4	0
Hancock County	8	1	0	0	0	9	0	2	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 11 Permanency



Hancock County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 11	25	1	0	3	1	30	0	4	0
Madison County	13	0	0	3	1	17	0	0	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 11 Permanency



Madison County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 11	25	1	0	3	1	30	0	4	0
Tipton County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 11 Permanency



Tipton County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emancipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 12	24	1	1	1	0	27	0	11	0
Fayette County	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 12 Permanency



Fayette County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 12	24	1	1	1	0	27	0	11	0
Franklin County	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 12 Permanency



Franklin County Permanency



Prepared by  
Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis  
March 12 2009



State of Indiana

Mitchell E. Daniels Jr, Governor - James W. Payne, Director  
402 West Washington Street Room W392 / Indianapolis, IN 46204-2739

Source:  
ICWIS Monthly Data





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 12	24	1	1	1	0	27	0	11	0
Henry County	2	0	1	1	0	4	0	5	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 12 Permanency



Henry County Permanency



Prepared by  
Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis  
March 12 2009



State of Indiana

Mitchell E. Daniels Jr, Governor - James W. Payne, Director  
402 West Washington Street Room W392 / Indianapolis, IN 46204-2739

Source:  
ICWIS Monthly Data



# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 12	24	1	1	1	0	27	0	11	0
Rush County	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 12 Permanency



Rush County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

**February 2009**


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 12	24	1	1	1	0	27	0	11	0
Union County	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0

**For February 2008 to February 2009**


**Statewide Permanency**



**Region 12 Permanency**



**Union County Permanency**



Prepared by  
Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis  
March 12 2009



State of Indiana

Mitchell E. Daniels Jr, Governor - James W. Payne, Director  
402 West Washington Street Room W392 / Indianapolis, IN 46204-2739

Source:  
ICWIS Monthly Data



# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 12	24	1	1	1	0	27	0	11	0
Wayne County	13	0	0	0	0	13	0	3	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 12 Permanency



Wayne County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 13	26	6	1	0	0	33	0	2	0
Brown County	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 13 Permanency



Brown County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 13	26	6	1	0	0	33	0	2	0
Greene County	2	0	1	0	0	3	0	1	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 13 Permanency



Greene County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 13	26	6	1	0	0	33	0	2	0
Lawrence County	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 13 Permanency



Lawrence County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 13	26	6	1	0	0	33	0	2	0
Monroe County	15	1	0	0	0	16	0	1	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 13 Permanency



Monroe County Permanency







# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

**February 2009**


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 13	26	6	1	0	0	33	0	2	0
Owen County	5	5	0	0	0	10	0	0	0

**For February 2008 to February 2009**


**Statewide Permanency**



**Region 13 Permanency**



**Owen County Permanency**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 14	25	2	0	1	1	29	0	9	0
Bartholomew County	2	2	0	0	0	4	0	0	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 14 Permanency



Bartholomew County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 14	25	2	0	1	1	29	0	9	0
Jackson County	4	0	0	0	1	5	0	0	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 14 Permanency



Jackson County Permanency



Prepared by  
Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis  
March 12 2009



State of Indiana

Mitchell E. Daniels Jr, Governor - James W. Payne, Director  
402 West Washington Street Room W392 / Indianapolis, IN 46204-2739

Source:  
ICWIS Monthly Data



# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

**February 2009**


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 14	25	2	0	1	1	29	0	9	0
Jennings County	12	0	0	1	0	13	0	0	0

**For February 2008 to February 2009**


**Statewide Permanency**



**Region 14 Permanency**



**Jennings County Permanency**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 14	25	2	0	1	1	29	0	9	0
Johnson County	6	0	0	0	0	6	0	8	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 14 Permanency



Johnson County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 14	25	2	0	1	1	29	0	9	0
Shelby County	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 14 Permanency



Shelby County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

**February 2009**


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 15	21	0	2	2	1	26	1	6	0
Dearborn County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**For February 2008 to February 2009**


**Statewide Permanency**



**Region 15 Permanency**



**Dearborn County Permanency**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 15	21	0	2	2	1	26	1	6	0
Decatur County	7	0	0	0	0	7	0	3	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 15 Permanency



Decatur County Permanency







# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 15	21	0	2	2	1	26	1	6	0
Jefferson County	1	0	0	2	1	4	1	0	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 15 Permanency



Jefferson County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 15	21	0	2	2	1	26	1	6	0
Ohio County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 15 Permanency



Ohio County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 15	21	0	2	2	1	26	1	6	0
Ripley County	12	0	2	0	0	14	0	1	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 15 Permanency



Ripley County Permanency



Prepared by  
Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis  
March 12 2009



State of Indiana

Mitchell E. Daniels Jr, Governor - James W. Payne, Director  
402 West Washington Street Room W392 / Indianapolis, IN 46204-2739

Source:  
ICWIS Monthly Data



# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

**February 2009**


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 15	21	0	2	2	1	26	1	6	0
Switzerland County	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0

**For February 2008 to February 2009**


**Statewide Permanency**



**Region 15 Permanency**



**Switzerland County Permanency**



Prepared by  
Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis  
March 12 2009



State of Indiana

Mitchell E. Daniels Jr, Governor - James W. Payne, Director  
402 West Washington Street Room W392 / Indianapolis, IN 46204-2739

Source:  
ICWIS Monthly Data



# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

**February 2009**


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emancipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 16	44	3	0	5	2	54	0	7	0
Gibson County	11	0	0	2	0	13	0	0	0

**For February 2008 to February 2009**


**Statewide Permanency**



**Region 16 Permanency**



**Gibson County Permanency**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

**February 2009**


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 16	44	3	0	5	2	54	0	7	0
Knox County	8	0	0	0	1	9	0	2	0

**For February 2008 to February 2009**


**Statewide Permanency**



**Region 16 Permanency**



**Knox County Permanency**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 16	44	3	0	5	2	54	0	7	0
Pike County	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 16 Permanency



Pike County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emancipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 16	44	3	0	5	2	54	0	7	0
Posey County	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 16 Permanency



Posey County Permanency







# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emancipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 16	44	3	0	5	2	54	0	7	0
Vanderburgh County	16	0	0	1	1	18	0	5	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 16 Permanency



Vanderburgh County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 16	44	3	0	5	2	54	0	7	0
Warrick County	5	0	0	2	0	7	0	0	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 16 Permanency



Warrick County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

**February 2009**


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 17	34	1	0	0	1	36	0	4	0
Crawford County	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0

**For February 2008 to February 2009**


**Statewide Permanency**



**Region 17 Permanency**



**Crawford County Permanency**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 17	34	1	0	0	1	36	0	4	0
Daviess County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 17 Permanency



Daviess County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 17	34	1	0	0	1	36	0	4	0
Dubois County	6	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 17 Permanency



Dubois County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

**February 2009**


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 17	34	1	0	0	1	36	0	4	0
Martin County	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0

**For February 2008 to February 2009**


**Statewide Permanency**



**Region 17 Permanency**



**Martin County Permanency**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emancipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 17	34	1	0	0	1	36	0	4	0
Orange County	12	0	0	0	0	12	0	3	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 17 Permanency



Orange County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

**February 2009**


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 17	34	1	0	0	1	36	0	4	0
Perry County	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	0

**For February 2008 to February 2009**


**Statewide Permanency**



**Region 17 Permanency**



**Perry County Permanency**







# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 17	34	1	0	0	1	36	0	4	0
Spencer County	10	0	0	0	1	11	0	0	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 17 Permanency



Spencer County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 18	50	1	0	3	2	56	0	11	0
Clark County	23	0	0	0	0	23	0	9	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 18 Permanency



Clark County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 18	50	1	0	3	2	56	0	11	0
Floyd County	12	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 18 Permanency



Floyd County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

February 2009


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 18	50	1	0	3	2	56	0	11	0
Harrison County	3	0	0	0	1	4	0	1	0

For February 2008 to February 2009


Statewide Permanency



Region 18 Permanency



Harrison County Permanency





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

**February 2009**


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 18	50	1	0	3	2	56	0	11	0
Scott County	11	1	0	3	1	16	0	1	0

**For February 2008 to February 2009**


**Statewide Permanency**



**Region 18 Permanency**



**Scott County Permanency**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## CHINS and IA Permanency Report


### Children with Closed Case Types by Reason Code Category

**February 2009**


Location	Permanency Outcomes					Total Permanency	Non-Permanency Outcomes		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emancipation		Transfer	Other	NA
Statewide	767	53	15	40	25	900	7	182	0
Region 18	50	1	0	3	2	56	0	11	0
Washington County	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0

**For February 2008 to February 2009**

**Statewide Permanency**



**Region 18 Permanency**



**Washington County Permanency**

